


Quality Evaluation Criteria for Personal Protective Equipment: Enquiry KBG2679 - Q4/L3										
Requirement	Criteria	Deliverable	Scoring Methodology	Weighting	Rating	% Rating	% Score	[Supplier Name] Response	Eskom Comments	
1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS	A Quality Assurance Program that meets the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 as identified in 238-769T.	The returnable is a document or method statement describing the supplier Quality Programme that provide a description of the processes and supporting information that reflects how processes are managed and work/operation is prepared, reviewed, carried out, recorded, assessed and improved . Examples of processes to be described can include Purchasing, Receipt Inspection, Storage, Handling, etc.	100% - Conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 75% - Minor gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 50% - Major gaps identified with conformance with the requirements of 238-103 Rev 3 0% - No documents submitted	40%		0%	0.0%			
	Control of nonconforming product or service and application for concession.	Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Non-conformance and Corrective Action Process.	100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Non-conformance report with Corrective Actions. 75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Non-conformance and Corrective Action procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. 50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for dealing with nonconforming product/service. 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%			
	Supplier Monitoring Process, including Source Verification and Receipt Inspection.	Tenderer to submit procedure, process document and records demonstrating implementation of Monitoring Processes.	100% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Monitoring procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. E.g. Source Verification or Receipt Inspection. 75% - Supplier Method Statement and/or Monitoring procedure and retained documented information (record) demonstrating criteria implementation. 50% - Method Statement or documented procedure that defines processes followed for verification and inspection of product. 0% - No documents submitted	30%		0%	0.0%			
	TOTAL WEIGHTING				100%	NOT MEET	0%			
Final Analysis										
1. QUALITY REQUIREMENTS				30%		0.0%				
TOTAL				30%		0.0%				
<p>The scoring of the Functional Evaluation is conducted as follows: A supplier is given a score in each of the sub-categories. These sub-categories are requirements detailed in the specification or contract. Scores are allocated as follows: 0 - 0% - Does not meet 1 - 50% - Partial meet (Large gap) 2 - 75% - Partial Meet (Small gap) 3 - 100% - Meet The score is then summed to a weighted average per category. The category scores are analysed as follows: 0% - 79% - Does not meet 80% - 100% - Meet</p>								<p>Compiled by: L.Sityata</p> <p>Signature: </p> <p>Date: 2025/07/01</p>		